UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,532	06/16/2006	Tadashi Amino	08228/096001	3162	
22511 OSHA LIANG	7590 10/06/201 L.L.P.	0	EXAMINER		
TWO HOUSTO	ON CENTER		WONG, ALLEN C		
909 FANNIN, SUITE 3500 HOUSTON, TX 77010			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/06/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com buta@oshaliang.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/583,532	AMINO, TADASHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Allen Wong	2621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	<b>J.</b> lely filed  the mailing date of this α  ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-· action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	,						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 June 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	· · · · - ·	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<u> </u>	priority under 35 LLC C S 110(c)	(d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a)	-(u) or (r).					
·— ·— ·—	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<u> </u>	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Claims 1-8 show three different embodiments:

- (1) Species 1, claims 1-3, wherein the claims pertain to the particulars of video encoding and prediction encoding.
- (2) Species 2, claims 4-6, wherein the claims pertain to the particulars of specific video decompression.
- (3) Species 3, claims 7-8, wherein the claims pertain to the specifics of data transceivers.

The search for Species 1 would be class/sub 375,240.12 (predictive video encoding), the search for Species 2 would be 375/240.25 (specific decompression process) and the search for Species 3 would be 375/219 (data transceiving). Each species requires a special search that would be very burdensome for examination since multiple class/subclass need to be searched because of the separate classifications that each species has since each species has separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter restriction for examination purposes as indicated above. Each species have been shown to have formed a separate subject for inventive effort when the examiner can show a recognition of great separate inventive effort by inventors. Each species can be used separately since there are many types of video encoders, video decoders, and transceivers or data transceiving systems that may use

a variety of alternative forms of video transmission mediums/standards/formats, etc.

Thus, each species is distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 2621

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-7341. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 8am-6pm Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/583,532 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Allen Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621

/Allen Wong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621 9/30/10